

Sixth Appellate District

San Jose, California

MONDAY, JANUARY 28, 2002

H022122 TOPSAIL COURT HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION v. COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ; SOQUEL CREEK WATER DISTRICT

The judgment is reversed. The trial court is directed to vacate its original order partially granting the petition and to enter a new order that (1) denies the petition insofar as it challenges the legality of the four parcels and (2) grants the petition insofar as it seeks to compel SCWD to comply with zoning laws with respect to its proposed water treatment facility. The trial court shall issue a writ of mandate commanding SCWD to comply with zoning as to its water treatment facility. On remand, the trial court may reconsider its ruling on Topsail's request for attorney's fees. The parties shall bear their own appellate costs. (published)

(Mihara, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Elia, J.)

Filed January 28, 2002

H021062 PEOPLE v. TANG

The judgment is reversed. (not published)

(Mihara, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Elia, J.)

Filed January 28, 2002

TUESDAY, JANUARY 29, 2002

H021722 FORD v. PEDERSEN, et al.

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)

(Wunderlich, J.; We concur: Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J., Elia, J)

Filed January 29, 2002

H021896 SEGMENT SYSTEMS TECHNICAL STAFFING, INC. v. EDGE SOFTWARE, INC.

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)

(Premo, Acting P.J.; We concur: Elia, J., Mihara, J.)

Filed January 29, 2002

H022128 GILBERT v. CITY OF SALINAS, et al.

The judgment is affirmed. Defendant shall be entitled to its costs on appeal. (not published)

(Premo, Acting P.J.; We concur: Elia, J., Mihara, J.)

Filed January 29, 2002

In The Court Of Appeal Of The State Of California 19
Sixth Appellate District
San Jose, California

Tuesday, January 29, 2002 (continued)

H021675 PEOPLE v. O'NEAL

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J.; We concur: Wunderlich, J.,
O'Farrell, J.*)

Filed January 29, 2002

*Judge of the Monterey Superior Court assigned by the Chief
Justice pursuant to Article VI, Section 6 of the California
Constitution.

H016871 PEOPLE v. SENGPADYCHITH

H020131 SENGPADYCHITH on Habeas Corpus

The judgment is reversed only as to the true findings and
sentences on the gang enhancements alleged under sections 186.22
and 12021.5 due to insufficiency of the evidence. The case is
remanded to the trial court. The trial court shall resentence
defendant unless the prosecutor elects to retry the gang
enhancement allegations. Reasons must be stated if the great
bodily injury enhancement (§ 12022.7) is imposed consecutive to
the personal use enhancement (§ 12022.5) on count 1. Defendant's
petition for habeas corpus is denied. (not published)
(Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J.; We concur: Mihara, J.,
O'Farrell, J.*)

Filed January 29, 2002

*Judge of the Monterey Superior Court assigned by the Chief
Justice pursuant to Article VI, Section 6 of the California
Constitution.

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 30, 2002

H022004 JENSEN v. SALINAS VALLEY MEMORIAL HEALTHCARE SYSTEM

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Elia, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Mihara, J.)
Filed January 30, 2002

THURSDAY, JANUARY 31, 2002

H022569 PEOPLE v. ZAZUETA

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Elia, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Mihara, J.)
Filed January 31, 2002

In The Court Of Appeal Of The State Of California 20
Sixth Appellate District
San Jose, California

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 1, 2002

H021547 COASTAL CYPRESS CORP. v. AGHA; AGHA

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Elia, J.; We concur: Premo, Acting P.J., Mihara, J.)
Filed February 1, 2002

H020823 PEOPLE v. CORREA

The judgment is affirmed. (not published)
(Wunderlich, J.; We concur: Bamattre-Manoukian, Acting P.J.,
O'Farrell, J.*)

Filed February 1, 2002

*Judge of the Monterey Superior Court assigned by the Chief
Justice pursuant to Article VI, Section 6 of the California
Constitution.